

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.webjo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/729,570	12/05/2003	Frank Bergmann	21545-US1	2111
28329 7590 12/11/2008 Roche Molecular Systems, Inc. Patent Law Department 4300 Hacienda Drive Pleasanton, CA 94588			EXAMINER	
			EPPS FORD, JANET L	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			1633	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/11/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

# Application No. Applicant(s) 10/729,570 BERGMANN ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit Janet L. Epps-Ford 1633 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 August 2008. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-20 and 24-31 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-20 and 24-31 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abevance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some \* c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTC/G5/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_\_

Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

6) Other:

Notice of Informal Patent Application

Application/Control Number: 10/729,570 Page 2

Art Unit: 1633

#### DETAILED ACTION

1. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can

be found in a prior Office action.

2. 1-20 and 24-31 are presently pending for examination.

## Response to Arguments

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The rejection of claims 9-20 and 24-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention, is withdrawn in response to Applicant's amendment.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. Claims 1-20 remain rejected and claims 24-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sheng-Hui et al. (WO 97/43451 A1; See IDS) in view of De Clerq et al. (previously cited) and Alexander et al. (previously cited). \*(It is noted that although claims 9-20 are rejected under 112, 2<sup>nd</sup> as set forth above, the prior art is applied to the extent that R5, R6, and R7 are not all limited to a solid phase), for the reasons of record.
- 5. Applicant's arguments filed 8-29-08 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicants traversed the instant rejection on the grounds that "[B]ecause the hypothetical combination of the three references suggested in the Office Action lacks at least one element of the applicant's claims, the position of the substituents in

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/729,570

Art Unit: 1633

the cyclic moiety, the § 103 rejection of claim 1 over Sheng-Hui in view of DeClerq and Alexander may not be sustained."

- 6. Contrary to Applicant's assertions, there is significant evidence of structural similarity between the cited references and the claims of the instant invention. See e.g., In re Dillon, 919 F.2d 688, 693, 16 USPQ2d 1897, 1902 (Fed. Cir. 1990) (en banc) ("[I]t is not necessary in order to establish a *prima facie* case of obviousness that both a structural similarity between a claimed and prior art compound (or a key component of a composition) be shown and that there be a suggestion in or expectation from the prior art that the claimed compound or composition will have the same or a similar utility as one newly discovered by applicant"). Additionally, see also Deuel, 51 F.3d at 1558, 34 USPQ2d at 1214 ("Structural relationships may provide the requisite motivation or suggestion to modify known compounds to obtain new compounds. For example, a prior art compound may suggest its homologs because homologs often have similar properties and therefore chemists of ordinary skill would ordinarily contemplate making them to try to obtain compounds with improved properties."). \*\*\*
- 7. In the instant case, there is both structural similarity, and a prior art recognized use for the disclosed compounds in the same methods set forth in the instant claims, namely for the synthesis of oligonucleotides, and furthermore labeled nucleotide acids or oligonucleotides. Therefore, due to the lack of any secondary considerations, the ordinary skilled artisan looking for alternative compounds used in the synthesis and design of oligomeric compounds would have been motivated to design compounds according to the present invention, since the prior art clearly teaches that substituted or

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 10/729,570

Art Unit: 1633

unsubstituted cyclohexane, and similar compounds such as 1,5-anhydrohexitol compounds are useful in the design of oligomeric compounds according to the present invention. Applicants have not provided any evidence to the contrary.

As stated in the prior Office Action, it would have been obvious to the ordinary skilled artisan to modify the reagents of Sheng-Hui et al. with the substituted cyclohexene compounds of De Clerq et al. in the design of the instant invention. The ordinary skilled artisan would have been motivated to make this modification since Sheng-Hui et al. expressly teach that X¹ in the formula of their disclosed reagents preferably are substituted cyclohexane compounds. See MPEP § 2144.06, which recites: "[i]t is prima facie obvious to combine two compositions each of which is taught by the prior art to be useful for the same purpose, in order to form a third composition to be used for the very same purpose.... [T]he idea of combining them flows logically from their having been individually taught in the prior art." In re Kerkhoven, 626 F.2d 846, 850, 205 USPQ 1069, 1072 (CCPA 1980) (citations omitted).

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 10/729,570

Art Unit: 1633

#### Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in
this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP
§ 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37
CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/729,570

Art Unit: 1633

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Janet L. Epps-Ford whose telephone number is 571-

272-0757. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 10:00 AM through 6:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Joseph Woitach can be reached on 571-272-0739. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Janet L. Epps-Ford/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1633

JLE